Regular propagators of bilinear quantum systems

Nabile Boussaïd with Marco Caponigro & Thomas Chambrion



Conference Stability of nonconservative systems Université de Valenciennes





Abstract framework

In a separable Hilbert space (possibly infinite dimensional) \mathcal{H} , we consider the controllability problem of the abstract Schrödinger equation:

$\partial_t \psi = A\psi + u(t)B\psi$

where

- A is a skew-adjoint operator,
- B a control potential and
- **u** is the control command which is a real valued function.





Abstract framework

In a separable Hilbert space (possibly infinite dimensional) \mathcal{H} , we consider the controllability problem of the abstract Schrödinger equation:

$\partial_t \psi = \mathbf{A} \psi + \mathbf{u}(t) \mathbf{B} \psi$

where

- A is a maximal dissipative operator,
- **B** a control potential (some **A**-bounded operator) and
- **u** is the control command which is a <u>real</u> valued function.





Regular propagators

³/24

Under "natural" assumptions on *A* and *B*, what conditions on the control *u* ensures the wellposedness of the problem (in a sense to be made more precise) ?



Regular propagators

Under "natural" assumptions on A and B, what conditions on the control u ensures the wellposedness of the problem (in a sense to be made more precise) ?

For instance, if **A** is skew-adjoint and **B** is **A**-bounded with **A**-bound zero then if **u** is piecewise constant $u = \sum_{j=1}^{p} u_j \mathbf{1}_{[\tau_j, \tau_{j+1})}$ where $u_1, \ldots, u_p \in \mathbb{R}$ by concatenation we obtain a solution: $s = \tau_1 < \tau_2 < \ldots < \tau_{p+1}$ on pose

$$x(t,s) = e^{(t-\tau_{j})(A+u_{j}B)} \circ e^{(\tau_{j}-\tau_{j-1})(A+u_{j-1}B)} \circ \cdots \circ e^{(\tau_{2}-\tau_{1})(A+u_{1}B)}x_{s}$$

for t in (τ_j, τ_{j+1}) .

- Under "natural" assumptions on *A* and *B*, what conditions on the control *u* ensures the wellposedness of the problem (in a sense to be made more precise) ?
- What is the regularity of the solution **x** (in "space", in time and in **u**)?



- Under "natural" assumptions on *A* and *B*, what conditions on the control *u* ensures the wellposedness of the problem (in a sense to be made more precise) ?
- What is the regularity of the solution **x** (in "space", in time and in **u**)?
- What can we say on the image of $u \mapsto x(T, s)$ (T > s "given")?



- Under "natural" assumptions on *A* and *B*, what conditions on the control *u* ensures the wellposedness of the problem (in a sense to be made more precise) ?
- What is the regularity of the solution *x* (in "space", in time and in *u*)?
- What can we say on the image of u → x(T, s) (T > s "given")? Note that this image is in the sphere ball of radius ||x_s||.





- Under "natural" assumptions on A and B, what conditions on the control u ensures the wellposedness of the problem (in a sense to be made more precise) ?
- What is the regularity of the solution **x** (in "space", in time and in **u**)?
- What can we say on the image of u → x(T, s) (T > s "given")? Note that this image is in the sphere ball of radius ||x_s||.

In the sequel, we denote by $\Upsilon_{t,s}^{u}$ the maps defined by $x(t,s) =: \Upsilon_{t,s}^{u} x_s$ and we will consider the attainable set:

$$\bigcup_{T>s} \left\{ \Upsilon^u_{T,s} x_s, u \in Z(0,T) \right\}$$

for some x_s (and even s = 0) and a class of control Z(0, T) to be chosen.

- Under "natural" assumptions on A and B, what conditions on the control u ensures the wellposedness of the problem (in a sense to be made more precise) ?
- What is the regularity of the solution **x** (in "space", in time and in **u**)?
- What can we say on the image of u → x(T, s) (T > s "given")? Note that this image is in the sphere ball of radius ||x_s||.

In the sequel, we denote by $\Upsilon_{t,s}^{u}$ the maps defined by $x(t,s) =: \Upsilon_{t,s}^{u} x_s$ and we will consider the attainable set:

$$\bigcup_{T>s} \left\{ \Upsilon^u_{T,s} x_s, u \in Z(0,T) \right\}$$

for some x_s (and even s = 0) and a class of control Z(0, T) to be chosen.

We want to give bounds on the attainable set.



An example

Our main example is the Schrödinger equation

$$\mathrm{i}\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t}=-\frac{1}{2}\Delta\psi+V(x)\psi(x,t)+u(t)W(x)\psi(x,t),$$

where Δ is the Laplace-Beltrami operator on Ω , a riemannian manifold, and $V: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ is a potential.

The system is submitted to an excitation by an external field (*e.g.* a laser) $W: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ and u is a real function of the time modulating its amplitude.

In our abstract framework, we have

$$A = rac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\Delta - \mathrm{i}V(x)$$
 and $B = -\mathrm{i}W(x).$





J. M. Ball, J. E. Marsden, et M. Slemrod. Controllability for distributed bilinear systems. SIAM J. Control Optim., 20(4):575–597, 1982.

Theorem ([Ball, Marsden & Slemrod 82, Theorem 3.6])

Let **X** be a Banach space of infinite dimension, **A** the generator of a C^0 semi-group on **X**, and **B** a bounded operator on **X**. Then for all $T \ge 0$, the input-output mapping $u \mapsto \Upsilon^u_{T,0} x_0$ has a unique continuous extension to $L^1([0, T])$ and the attainable set

$$\bigcup_{r>1}\bigcup_{T\geq 0}\bigcup_{u\in L^r([0,T],\mathsf{R})}\left\{\Upsilon^u_{t,0}x_0,t\in[0,T]\right\}$$

is included in a countable union of compact subsets of X.





K. Beauchard.

Local controllability of a 1-D Schrödinger equation. J. Math. Pures Appl., 84(7):851–956, 2005.







K. Beauchard et C. Laurent.

Local controllability of 1D linear et nonlinear Schrödinger equations with bilinear control. J. Math. Pures Appl., 94(5):520–554, 2010.





Theorem ([Beauchard & Laurent 10])

Let T > 0 and $\mu \in H^3((0,1), \mathbb{R})$ such that $\frac{c}{k^3} \leq |\langle \mu \varphi_1, \varphi_k \rangle|$, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$. There exist $\delta > 0$ and a C^1 mapping $\Gamma : \mathcal{V}_T \to L^2((0, T), \mathbb{R})$ where

 $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{T}} := \{\psi_f \in H^3_{(0)}((0,1)), \|\psi_f\| = 1, \|\psi_f - \psi_1(\mathcal{T})\|_{H^3} < \delta\},$

such that $\Gamma(\psi_1(T)) = 0$ and for all $\psi_f \in \mathcal{V}_T$, is the solution of

 $\left\{ egin{array}{l} irac{\partial\psi}{\partial t}(t,x)=-rac{\partial^2\psi}{\partial x^2}(t,x)-u(t)\mu(x)\psi(t,x),x\in(0,1),t\in(0,\mathcal{T}),\ \psi(t,0)=\psi(t,1)=0, \end{array}
ight.$

with initial condition $\phi(\mathbf{0}) = \varphi_1$ and $\mathbf{u} = \Gamma(\psi_f)$ satisfies $\psi(T) = \psi_f$.

 $H_{(0)}^{s}((0,1))$ the domain of $|A|^{s/2}$ where A is the Laplace-Dirichlet operator on (0,1) and φ_{k} is "the" k-the normalised eigenvector.

Propagator on a Hilbert space

Let *I* be a real interval and

$$\Delta_I:=\{(s,t)\in I^2\mid s\leq t\}.$$

A family $(s, t) \in \Delta_I \mapsto X(s, t)$ of linear (contractions), on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , strongly continuous in t and s and such that

- 1. for any s < r < t, X(t,s) = X(t,r)X(r,s),
- 2. $X(t,t) = I_{\mathcal{H}}$,

is called a (contraction) propagator on \mathcal{H} .



Bounded variation functions

A family $t \in I \mapsto U(t) \in E$, E a subset of a Banach space X, is in BV(I, E) if there exists $N \ge 0$ such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \|U(t_{j}) - U(t_{j-1})\|_{X} \leq N$$

for any partition $a = t_0 < t_1 < \ldots < t_n = b$ of the interval (a, b).

The mapping

$$U \in BV(I, E) \mapsto \sup_{a=t_0 < t_1 < ... < t_n = b} \sum_{j=1}^n \|U(t_j) - U(t_{j-1})\|_X$$

is a semi-norm on BV(I, E) denoted $\|\cdot\|_{BV(I,E)}$ and called total variation.

Our assumptions

Let us now fix some scalar function $u: \textbf{\textit{I}} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ and define

$$A(t) = A + u(t)B.$$

Let $\textit{\textbf{I}}$ be a real interval and $\mathcal D$ dense subset of $\mathcal H$

- 1. A(t) is a maximal dissipative operator on \mathcal{H} with domain \mathcal{D} ,
- 2. $t \mapsto A(t)$ has bounded variation from I to $L(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{H})$, where \mathcal{D} is endowed with the graph topology associated to A(a) for $a = \inf I$,

3.
$$M := \sup_{t \in I} \| (1 - A(t))^{-1} \|_{L(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D})} < \infty.$$

The map $t \mapsto A(t)$ is not necessarily continuous but admits right and left limits which are equal except on a at most countable set.

Our assumptions

Let $\textbf{\textit{I}}$ be a real interval and $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{D}}$ dense subset of $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{H}}$

- 1. A(t) is a maximal dissipative operator on \mathcal{H} with domain \mathcal{D} ,
- 2. $t \mapsto A(t)$ has bounded variation from I to $L(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{H})$, where \mathcal{D} is endowed with the graph topology associated to A(a) for $a = \inf I$,

3.
$$M := \sup_{t \in I} \| (1 - A(t))^{-1} \|_{L(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D})} < \infty.$$

The map $t \mapsto A(t)$ is not necessarily continuous but admits right and left limits which are equal except on a at most countable set.

A theorem by T. Kato

The the core of our analysis is the following result due to Tosio Kato (1953).

Theorem

If $t \in I \mapsto A(t)$ satisfies the above assumptions, then there exists a unique (contraction) propagator $X : \Delta_I \to L(\mathcal{H})$ such that if $\psi_0 \in \mathcal{D}$ then $X(t,s)\psi_0 \in \mathcal{D}$ and for $(t,s) \in \Delta_I$

$\|A(t)X(t,s)\psi_0\| \leq Me^{M\|A\|_{BV(l,L(\mathcal{D},\mathcal{H}))}}\|A(s)\psi_0\|$

and in this case $X(t, s)\psi_0$ is strongly left differentiable in t and right differentiable in s with derivative (when t = s) $A(t + 0)\psi_0$ and $-A(t - 0)\psi_0$ respectively.



An immediate consequence

In the estimates

$\|\boldsymbol{A}(t)\boldsymbol{X}(t,s)\psi_0\| \leq M e^{M\|\boldsymbol{A}\|_{BV(l,L(\mathcal{D},\mathcal{H}))}} \|\boldsymbol{A}(s)\psi_0\|$

we "read" that the attainable set from any eigenvector is included in the domain.

When u(t) is a constant u then these estimates can be extend to any (semi-)norm of the type

$$\psi \in D((A + uB)^k) \mapsto \|(A + uB)^k \psi\|.$$



First question

We consider the attainable set from an eigenvector. Since eigenvectors are in the domain of A^k for any integer k then the first question we considered was:

At what extent is these still true for the non-autonomous case ?





The weak coupling

Let k be a non negative real. A couple of <u>skew-adjoint</u> operators (A, B) is k-weakly coupled if

- 1. **A** is invertible with bounded inverse from D(A) to \mathcal{H} ,
- 2. there exist $c \ge 0$ and $c' \ge 0$ such that B c and -B c' generate contraction semigroups on $D(|A|^{k/2})$ for the norm $\psi \mapsto ||A|^{k/2}u||$.

We set, for every positive real \boldsymbol{k} ,

$$\|\psi\|_{k/2} = \sqrt{\langle |\mathbf{A}|^k \psi, \psi \rangle}.$$

The optimal exponential growth is defined by

$$c_k(A,B) := \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} rac{\log \|e^{tB}\|_{L(D(|A|^{k/2}),D(|A|^{k/2})}}{|t|}.$$



$$\|B\|_{A} := \inf_{\lambda>0} \|B(\lambda - A)^{-1}\|.$$

Theorem

For any $\mathbf{u} \in BV([0, T], \mathbb{R}) \cap B_{L^{\infty}([0, T])}(0, 1/||B||_A)$, there exists a family of contraction propagators in \mathcal{H} that extends uniquely as propagators to $D(|A|^{k/2}): \Upsilon^u : \Delta_{[0,T]} \to L(D(|A|^{k/2}))$ such that for any $t \in [0, T]$, for any $\psi_0 \in D(|A|^{k/2})$

 $\|\Upsilon^{u}_{t}(\psi_{0})\|_{k/2} \leq e^{c_{k}(A,B)\left|\int_{0}^{t}u\right|}\|\psi_{0}\|_{k/2}.$

Moreover, there exists m (depending only on A, B and $||u||_{L^{\infty}([0,T])}$)

 $\|\Upsilon^{u}_{t}(\psi_{0})\|_{1+k/2} \leq m e^{m\|u\|_{BV([0,T],\mathbb{R})}} e^{c_{k}(A,B)\left|\int_{0}^{t}u\right|} \|\psi_{0}\|_{1+k/2}$

where Υ_t^u stands for the propagator X(t,0) associated with A + u(t)B.



A compactness result

Theorem (Helly's selection theorem)

Let $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in $BV(I, \mathbb{R})$, where I is a compact interval. If 1. there exists M > 0 such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $||f_n||_{BV(I,\mathbb{R})} \leq M$, 2. there exists $x_0 \in I$ such that $(f_n(x_0))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded.

Then $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ admits a pointwise convergent subsequence.

For every ψ_0 in $D(|A|^{k/2})$, the end-point mapping

$$\Upsilon(\psi_0):BV([0,T],K)\cap L^1([0,T]) o D(|A|^{k/2})\ u\mapsto\Upsilon^u_T(\psi_0)$$

is continuous for the topology corresponding to the previous lemma.



An upper bound

Theorem

Let $\psi_0 \in D(|\mathbf{A}|^{k/2})$. Then

 $\bigcup_{L,T,a>0} \left\{ \alpha \Upsilon^u_t(\psi_0), \|u\|_{BV([0,T],\mathbb{R})\cap L^1([0,T])} \leq L, t \in [0,T], |\alpha| \leq a \right\}$

is a meagre set (in the sense of Baire) in $L^{\infty}(I, D(|A|^{k/2}))$ as a union of relatively compact subsets.





Galerkin Approximation

For a Hilbert basis $\Phi = (\phi_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of \mathcal{H} made of eigenvectors of A, let

$$\pi^{\Phi}_{N}:\psi\in\mathcal{H}\mapsto\sum_{j\leq N}\langle\phi_{j},\psi\rangle\phi_{j}\in\mathcal{H}$$

be the orthogonal projector to $\mathcal{L}_{N}^{\Phi} = \operatorname{span}(\phi_{1}, \ldots, \phi_{N})$. The Galerkin approximation of order N of our system is the system

$$\dot{x} = (A^{(\Phi,N)} + uB^{(\Phi,N)})x$$

where

$$A^{(\Phi,N)} := A^{(\Phi,N)} = \pi_N^{\Phi} A_{\restriction \mathcal{L}_N^{\Phi}} \quad \text{and} \quad B^{(\Phi,N)} := B^{(\Phi,N)} = \pi_N^{\Phi} B_{\restriction \mathcal{L}_N^{\Phi}}.$$

 $(A^{(\Phi,N)}, B^{(\Phi,N)})$ satisfies the same assumptions as (A, B). We can define the associated contraction propagator $X^{u}_{(\Phi,N)}(t,0)$.

Good Galerkin Approximation

Theorem

If $B(1 - A)^{-1}$ compact then for s real with $0 \le s < k$ for every $\varepsilon > 0$, $L \ge 0$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $(\psi_j)_{1 \le j \le n}$ in $D(|A|^{k/2})^n$ there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $u \in \mathcal{R}((0, T])$,

 $\|\boldsymbol{u}\|_{BV([0,T])} < \boldsymbol{L} \Rightarrow \|\boldsymbol{\Upsilon}^{\boldsymbol{u}}_t(\psi_j) - \boldsymbol{X}^{\boldsymbol{u}}_{(N)}(t,0)\pi_N\psi_j\|_{s/2} < \varepsilon,$

for every $t \geq 0$ and $j = 1, \ldots, n$.

Hence if these finite dimensional systems are controllable with a uniform bound on the total variation of the needed controls \boldsymbol{u} then the system is approximately controllable.



Second question

Is it possible to consider a larger class of controls?





Regular propagators

We denote by $\mathcal{R}(I)$ the set of signed Radon measures on I.

- A positive Radon measure is a locally finite and inner regular borelian measure.
- A signed Radon measure μ can be written as the difference μ = μ⁺ − μ[−] (Hahn-Jordan decomposition) of two positive Radon measures μ⁺ and μ[−] (with disjoint supports).
- Denote $|\mu| = \mu^+ + \mu^-$ and $|\mu|(I)$ the total variation of μ on I.

We denote by $\mathcal{R}(I)$ the set of signed Radon measures on I.

- The cumulative function of a Radon measure has local bounded variation, The total variations of the cumulative function and the measure coincide.
- We consider that $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{R}(I)$ converges to $u \in \mathcal{R}(I)$ if:
 - □ $\sup_n |u_n|(I) < +\infty$ (bounded total variations);
 - $\Box \ u_n((0,t]) \to u((0,t]) \text{ for all } t \in I.$

We denote by $\mathcal{R}(I)$ the set of signed Radon measures on I.

Any L¹_{loc}(I) can be considered as a density of an absolute continuous function and thus as a Radon measure.







We denote by $\mathcal{R}(I)$ the set of signed Radon measures on I.

Assumption

The couple (A, B), with A generator of a contraction semi-group on $\mathcal H$ is such that

- 1. there exist $c \ge 0$ and $c' \ge 0$ such that B c and -B c' generate contractions semi-groups on \mathcal{H} leaving D(A) invariant,
- 2. for all $u \in \mathcal{R}((0, T])$,

$t \in [0, T] \mapsto \mathcal{A}(t) := e^{u((0,t])B} \mathcal{A} e^{-u((0,t])B}$

is a family of contraction semi-group generators with domain D(A) and: $\square \mathcal{A}$ has bounded variation from [0, T] to $L(D(A), \mathcal{H})$, $\square \sup_{t \in [0, T]} || (1 - \mathcal{A}(t))^{-1} ||_{L(\mathcal{H}, D(A))} < +\infty$.

Lemma (Continuity in the control)

Let $(v_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $\mathcal{R}(I)$ be uniformly bounded (for the total variation on I) such that the distributions functions are almost everywhere convergent to the distribution function of some $v \in \mathcal{R}(I)$. Let

 $\overline{\mathcal{A}_n(t)=e^{-\nu_n((0,t])}}^B A e^{\nu_n(0,t])B}$

 $\overline{\mathcal{A}(t)} = e^{-\nu((0,t])B} A e^{\nu((0,t])B}$

and X_n (resp. X) the propagators associated with A_n (resp. A). If $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|A_n\|_{BV(I,L(D(A),\mathcal{H}))} < +\infty$, then $X_n(t,s)$ converges strongly to X(t,s) locally uniformly in $s, t \in I$ (with $s \leq t$).



Lemma (Continuity in the control)

Let $(v_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $\mathcal{R}(I)$ be uniformly bounded (for the total variation on I) such that the distributions functions are almost everywhere convergent to the distribution function of some $v \in \mathcal{R}(I)$. Let

 $\overline{\mathcal{A}_n(t)} = e^{-\nu_n((0,t])B} A e^{\nu_n(0,t])B}$

 $\mathcal{A}(t) = e^{-\nu((0,t])B} \mathcal{A} e^{\nu((0,t])B}$

and X_n (resp. X) the propagators associated with \mathcal{A}_n (resp. \mathcal{A}). If $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|\mathcal{A}_n\|_{BV(I,L(D(A),\mathcal{H}))} < +\infty$, then $X_n(t,s)$ converges strongly to X(t,s) locally uniformly in $s, t \in I$ (with $s \leq t$).

Assumption

- 1. A generates a contraction semi-group on \mathcal{H} with domain D(A),
- 2. there exist $c \ge 0$ and $c' \ge 0$ such that B c and -B c' generate contraction semi-groups on \mathcal{H} leaving D(A) invariant,
- 3. $t \in \mathsf{R} \mapsto e^{tB} A e^{-tB} \in L(\overline{D(A), \mathcal{H}})$ is locally Lipschitz.

The couple (A, B) with A generator of a contraction semi-group on \mathcal{H} and B an operator on \mathcal{H} such that $D(A) \subset D(B)$ and A + uB generates a contraction semi-group on \mathcal{H} for any $u \in R$, with domain D(A).







The couple (A, B) with A generator of a contraction semi-group on \mathcal{H} and B an operator on \mathcal{H} such that $D(A) \subset D(B)$ and A + uB generates a contraction semi-group on \mathcal{H} for any $u \in R$, with domain D(A).

Proposition

Let $t \mapsto Y^u_t$ be the propagator (with s = 0) associated with

 $\mathcal{A}(t) := e^{-u((0,t])B} \mathcal{A} e^{u((0,t])B},$

for $u \in \mathcal{R}((0, T])$, and Υ_t^u the one (with s = 0) associated with A + u(t)B for $u \in BV([0, T], R)$. Then for any $\psi_0 \in \mathcal{H}, t \in [0, T]$ the mapping

 $\Upsilon_t(\psi_0): u \mapsto \Upsilon^u_t(\psi_0) \in \mathcal{H}$

ha a unique continuous extension to $\mathcal{R}((0, T])$, denoted $\Upsilon_t(\psi_0)$, satisfying

The couple (A, B) with A generator of a contraction semi-group on \mathcal{H} and B an operator on \mathcal{H} such that $D(A) \subset D(B)$ and A + uB generates a contraction semi-group on \mathcal{H} for any $u \in R$, with domain D(A).

Proposition

Let $t \mapsto Y^u_t$ be the propagator (with s = 0) associated with

 $\mathcal{A}(t) := e^{-u((0,t])B} \mathcal{A} e^{u((0,t])B},$

for $u \in \mathcal{R}((0, T])$, and Υ_t^u the one (with s = 0) associated with A + u(t)B for $u \in BV([0, T], R)$. Then for any $\psi_0 \in \mathcal{H}, t \in [0, T]$ the mapping

 $\Upsilon_t(\psi_0): u \mapsto \Upsilon^u_t(\psi_0) \in \mathcal{H}$

ha a unique continuous extension to $\mathcal{R}((0, T])$, denoted $\Upsilon_t(\psi_0)$, satisfying

The couple (A, B) with A generator of a contraction semi-group on \mathcal{H} and B an operator on \mathcal{H} such that $D(A) \subset D(B)$ and A + uB generates a contraction semi-group on \mathcal{H} for any $u \in R$, with domain D(A).

Proposition

Let $\mathbf{t} \mapsto \mathbf{Y}_t^u$ be the propagator (with s = 0) associated with

 $\mathcal{A}(t) := e^{-u((0,t])B} \mathcal{A} e^{u((0,t])B},$

for $u \in \mathcal{R}((0, T])$, and Υ_t^u the one (with s = 0) associated with A + u(t)B for $u \in BV([0, T], R)$. Then for any $\psi_0 \in \mathcal{H}, t \in [0, T]$ the mapping

 $\Upsilon_t(\psi_0): u \mapsto \Upsilon^u_t(\psi_0) \in \mathcal{H}$

ha a unique continuous extension to $\mathcal{R}((0, T])$, denoted $\Upsilon_t(\psi_0)$, satisfying

The couple (A, B) with A generator of a contraction semi-group on \mathcal{H} and B an operator on \mathcal{H} such that $D(A) \subset D(B)$ and A + uB generates a contraction semi-group on \mathcal{H} for any $u \in R$, with domain D(A).

Proposition

Let $\mathbf{t} \mapsto \mathbf{Y}^{u}_{\mathbf{t}}$ be the propagator (with s = 0) associated with

 $\mathcal{A}(t) := e^{-u((0,t])B} \mathcal{A} e^{u((0,t])B},$

for $u \in \mathcal{R}((0, T])$, and Υ_t^u the one (with s = 0) associated with A + u(t)B for $u \in BV([0, T], R)$. Then for any $\psi_0 \in \mathcal{H}, t \in [0, T]$ the mapping

 $\Upsilon_t(\psi_0): u \mapsto \Upsilon^u_t(\psi_0) \in \mathcal{H}$

ha a unique continuous extension to $\mathcal{R}((0, \mathcal{T}])$, denoted $\Upsilon_t(\psi_0)$, satisfying

Proposition Let T > 0 and $\psi_0 \in \mathcal{H}$. The for any L > 0, the set $\{\Upsilon^u_t(\psi_0) : u \in \mathcal{R}((0, T]), |u|((0, T]) \leq L, t \in [0, T]\}$ is relatively compact in \mathcal{H} .

So

 $\bigcup_{L,T>0} \{\Upsilon^{u}_{t}(\psi_{0}), u \in \mathcal{R}((0,T]), |u|((0,T]) \leq L, t \in [0,T]\}$

is included in a countable union of compact subsets of \mathcal{H} .





The case of bounded controls potentials B

The construction of a solution when B is bounded on a Banach space X can be done by a Dyson expansion (iterations Duhamel's formula)

$$\Upsilon^{u}_{t,s}\psi_{0} = e^{(t-s)A}\psi_{0} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{s < s_{1} < s_{2} < \ldots < s_{n} \le t} e^{(t-s_{n})A}Be^{(s_{n}-s_{n-1})A} \circ \cdots$$
$$\cdots \circ Be^{(s_{2}-s_{1})A}Be^{(s_{1}-s)A}\psi_{0} \operatorname{du}(s_{1}) \ldots \operatorname{du}(s_{n})$$

without any assumption but the one needed to make the sum and the integrals convergent.





The case of bounded controls potentials B

The construction of a solution when B is bounded on a Banach space X can be done by a Dyson expansion (iterations Duhamel's formula)

The results on the continuity are still valid and Helly's selection theorem can be used as well.





The case of bounded controls potentials \boldsymbol{B}

The construction of a solution when B is bounded on a Banach space X can be done by a Dyson expansion (iterations Duhamel's formula)

The results on the continuity are still valid and Helly's selection theorem can be used as well.

```
Proposition
For any T > 0, there exists a unique "continuous" extension to \mathcal{R}([0, T])
of the input-output
                                   u\mapsto \Upsilon^u_{T,0}\in L(X,X)
and for all \psi_0 \in \mathcal{H}.
                                            \{\Upsilon^{u}_{t,0}\psi_{0},t\in [0,T]\}
                         T \ge 0 u \in L^1([0, T], \mathbb{R})
is included in a countable union of compacts subsets of \mathcal{X}.
```