Numerical approximation of boundary controls for beam equations

Nicolae Cîndea joint work with Sorin Micu and Ionel Rovența



Valenciennes, July 4 – 7, 2016 Stability of non-conservative systems



Controllability of the Euler-Bernoulli beam equation

We consider the following clamped beam equation :

$$\begin{cases} \ddot{u}(x,t) + \partial_x^4 u(x,t) = 0, & (0,1) \times (0,T) \\ u(0,t) = u(1,t) = 0, & t \in (0,T) \\ \partial_x u(0,t) = 0, & \partial_x u(1,t) = v(t), & t \in (0,T) \\ u(x,0) = u_0(x), & \dot{u}(x,0) = u_1(x), & x \in (0,1). \end{cases}$$
(CS)

$$\blacktriangleright T > 0$$

- ▶ $u_0 \in L^2(0,1)$
- ▶ $u_1 \in H^{-2}(0,1)$

2/31

Controllability of the Euler-Bernoulli beam equation

We consider the following clamped beam equation :

$$\begin{cases} \ddot{u}(x,t) + \partial_x^4 u(x,t) = 0, & (0,1) \times (0,T) \\ u(0,t) = u(1,t) = 0, & t \in (0,T) \\ \partial_x u(0,t) = 0, & \partial_x u(1,t) = v(t), & t \in (0,T) \\ u(x,0) = u_0(x), & \dot{u}(x,0) = u_1(x), & x \in (0,1). \end{cases}$$
(CS)

$$\blacktriangleright T > 0$$

▶
$$u_0 \in L^2(0,1)$$

▶
$$u_1 \in H^{-2}(0,1)$$

Definition

We say that the beam equation (CS) is null controllable in time T > 0, if for every initial data $(u_0, u_1) \in L^2(0, 1) \times H^{-2}(0, 1)$ there exists a control $v \in L^2(0, T)$ such that

$$u(\cdot, T) = \dot{u}(\cdot, T) = 0.$$

Controllability of the Euler-Bernoulli beam equation

We consider the following hinged beam equation :

$$\begin{cases} \ddot{u}(x,t) + \partial_x^4 u(x,t) = 0, & (0,1) \times (0,T) \\ u(0,t) = u(1,t) = 0, & t \in (0,T) \\ \partial_x^2 u(0,t) = 0, & \partial_x^2 u(1,t) = \mathbf{v}(t), & t \in (0,T) \\ u(x,0) = u_0(x), & \dot{u}(x,0) = u_1(x), & x \in (0,1). \end{cases}$$
(CS)

$$\blacktriangleright T > 0$$

▶
$$u_0 \in H_0^1(0,1)$$

•
$$u_1 \in H^{-1}(0,1)$$

Definition

We say that the beam equation (CS) is null controllable in time T > 0, if for every initial data $(u_0, u_1) \in H_0^1(0, 1) \times H^{-1}(0, 1)$ there exists a control $v \in L^2(0, T)$ such that

$$u(\cdot, T) = \dot{u}(\cdot, T) = 0.$$

In order to define the dual observability concept, we consider the following homogeneous clamped beam equation :

$$\begin{cases} \ddot{y}(x,t) + \partial_x^4 y(x,t) = 0, & (0,1) \times (0,T) \\ y(0,t) = y(1,t) = 0, & t \in (0,T) \\ \partial_x y(0,t) = \partial_x y(1,t) = 0, & t \in (0,T) \\ y(x,0) = y_0(x), & \dot{y}(x,0) = y_1(x), & x \in (0,1). \end{cases}$$
(S)



In order to define the dual observability concept, we consider the following homogeneous clamped beam equation :

$$\begin{cases} \ddot{y}(x,t) + \partial_x^4 y(x,t) = 0, & (0,1) \times (0,T) \\ y(0,t) = y(1,t) = 0, & t \in (0,T) \\ \partial_x y(0,t) = \partial_x y(1,t) = 0, & t \in (0,T) \\ y(x,0) = y_0(x), & \dot{y}(x,0) = y_1(x), & x \in (0,1). \end{cases}$$
(S)

Definition

We say that the beam equation (S) is exactly observable in time T > 0, if there exists a constant $K_T > 0$ such that for every initial data $(y_0, y_1) \in H^2_0(0, 1) \times L^2(0, 1)$ the solution y satisfies

$$\|y_0\|_{H^2_0(0,1)}^2 + \|y_1\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 \le K_T \int_0^T |\partial_x^2 y(1,t)|^2 dt$$
 (OBS)



In order to define the dual observability concept, we consider the following homogeneous clamped beam equation :

$$\begin{cases} \ddot{y}(x,t) + \partial_x^4 y(x,t) = 0, & (0,1) \times (0,T) \\ y(0,t) = y(1,t) = 0, & t \in (0,T) \\ \partial_x y(0,t) = \partial_x y(1,t) = 0, & t \in (0,T) \\ y(x,0) = y_0(x), & \dot{y}(x,0) = y_1(x), & x \in (0,1). \\ CONTROLLABILITY \longleftrightarrow OBSERVABILITY \end{cases}$$
(S)

Definition

We say that the beam equation (S) is exactly observable in time T > 0, if there exists a constant $K_T > 0$ such that for every initial data $(y_0, y_1) \in H^2_0(0, 1) \times L^2(0, 1)$ the solution y satisfies

$$\|y_0\|_{H^2_0(0,1)}^2 + \|y_1\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2 \le K_T \int_0^T |\partial_x^2 y(1,t)|^2 dt$$
 (OBS)

In order to define the dual observability concept, we consider the following homogeneous hinged beam equation :

$$\begin{cases} \ddot{y}(x,t) + \partial_x^4 y(x,t) = 0, & (0,1) \times (0,T) \\ y(0,t) = y(1,t) = 0, & t \in (0,T) \\ \partial_x^2 y(0,t) = \partial_x^2 y(1,t) = 0, & t \in (0,T) \\ y(x,0) = y_0(x), & \dot{y}(x,0) = y_1(x), & x \in (0,1). \\ CONTROLLABILITY \longleftrightarrow OBSERVABILITY \end{cases}$$
(S)

Definition

We say that the beam equation (S) is exactly observable in time T > 0, if there exists a constant $K_T > 0$ such that for every initial data $(y_0, y_1) \in H_0^1(0, 1) \times H^{-1}(0, 1)$ the solution y satisfies

$$\|y_0\|_{H^1_0(0,1)}^2 + \|y_1\|_{H^{-1}(0,1)}^2 \le K_T \int_0^T |\partial_x y(1,t)|^2 dt \qquad (\mathsf{OBS})$$

$$\label{eq:linear} \begin{split} N \mbox{ discretization points in } (0,1) \\ h = \frac{1}{N+1} \end{split}$$

$$x_{j} = jh$$

$$x_{0} = 0$$

$$x_{N+1} = 1$$

N. Cîndea Numerical approximation of boundary controls for beam equations





$$\partial_x^4 u(x_j, t) \approx \frac{u(x_{j-2}, t) - 4u(x_{j-1}, t) + 6u(x_j, t) - 4u(x_{j+1}, t) + u(x_{j+2}, t)}{h^4}$$





N. Cîndea

Numerical approximation of boundary controls for beam equations



N. Cîndea

Numerical approximation of boundary controls for beam equations

The following semi-discrete finite-dimensional system is an approximation of the clamped beam equation (CS)

$$\begin{cases} \ddot{U}_{h}(t) + A_{h}U_{h}(t) = F_{h}(t), & t \in (0,T) \\ U_{h}(0) = U_{h}^{0}, & \dot{U}_{h}(0) = U_{h}^{1}, \end{cases}$$
(CS_h)
where $A_{h} = \frac{1}{h^{4}}A$ and
 $U_{h}^{i} = \begin{pmatrix} u_{1}^{i} \\ u_{2}^{i} \\ \vdots \\ u_{N}^{i} \end{pmatrix}, U_{h}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} u_{1}(t) \\ u_{2}(t) \\ \vdots \\ u_{N}(t) \end{pmatrix} F_{h}(t) = -\frac{1}{h^{3}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ v_{h}(t) \end{pmatrix} Charped beam$

Discrete controllability problem

For a given time T > 0 and for every initial data $(U_h^0, U_h^1) \in \mathbb{C}^N \times \mathbb{C}^N$ find a control $v_h \in L^2(0, T)$ such that

$$U_h(T) = U_h(T) = 0.$$

The following semi-discrete finite-dimensional system is an approximation of the clamped beam equation (CS)

$$\begin{cases} \ddot{U}_{h}(t) + A_{h}U_{h}(t) = F_{h}(t), & t \in (0,T) \\ U_{h}(0) = U_{h}^{0}, & \dot{U}_{h}(0) = U_{h}^{1}, \end{cases}$$
(CS_h)
where $A_{h} = \frac{1}{h^{4}}A$ and
 $U_{h}^{i} = \begin{pmatrix} u_{1}^{i} \\ u_{2}^{i} \\ \vdots \\ u_{N}^{i} \end{pmatrix}, U_{h}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} u_{1}(t) \\ u_{2}(t) \\ \vdots \\ u_{N}(t) \end{pmatrix} F_{h}(t) = -\frac{1}{h^{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ v_{h}(t) \end{pmatrix}.$ hinged beam

Discrete controllability problem

For a given time T > 0 and for every initial data $(U_h^0, U_h^1) \in \mathbb{C}^N \times \mathbb{C}^N$ find a control $v_h \in L^2(0, T)$ such that

$$U_h(T) = U_h(T) = 0.$$

A uniform observability inequality?

Aim: to study the discrete observability property corresponding to the controlled problem (CS_h) which reads as follows: there exists a constant K_h such that the following inequality holds

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\text{clamped}}{\text{beam}} & \|Y_h^0\|_2^2 + \|Y_h^1\|_0^2 \le K_h \int_0^T \left|\frac{Y_{hN}(t)}{h^2}\right|^2 dt, \qquad (\text{OBS}_h) \end{aligned}$$
for any $\begin{pmatrix}Y_h^0\\Y_h^1\end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^{2N}$, where $\begin{pmatrix}Y_h\\\dot{Y}_h\end{pmatrix}$ is the solution of the following semi-discretization of (S)
$$\begin{cases} \ddot{Y}_h(t) + A_h Y_h(t) = 0, \quad t \in (0,T) \\ Y_h(0) = Y_h^0, \quad \dot{Y}_h(0) = Y_h^1. \end{cases}$$
(S_h)



A uniform observability inequality?

Aim: to study the discrete observability property corresponding to the controlled problem (CS_h) which reads as follows: there exists a constant K_h such that the following inequality holds

$$\begin{aligned} & \underset{\text{beam}}{\text{hinged}} \|Y_h^0\|_1^2 + \|Y_h^1\|_{-1}^2 \le K_h \int_0^T \left|\frac{Y_{hN}(t)}{h}\right|^2 dt, \qquad (\text{OBS}_h) \\ & \text{for any } \begin{pmatrix}Y_h^0\\Y_h^1\end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^{2N}, \text{ where } \begin{pmatrix}Y_h\\\dot{Y}_h\end{pmatrix} \text{ is the solution of the following semi-discretization of (S)} \\ & \begin{cases} \ddot{Y}_h(t) + A_h Y_h(t) = 0, & t \in (0,T) \\ & Y_h(0) = Y_h^0, & \dot{Y}_h(0) = Y_h^1. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$



A uniform observability inequality?

Aim: to study the discrete observability property corresponding to the controlled problem (CS_h) which reads as follows: there exists a constant K_h such that the following inequality holds

$$\begin{aligned} & \underset{\text{beam}}{\text{hinged}} \|Y_h^0\|_1^2 + \|Y_h^1\|_{-1}^2 \le K_h \int_0^T \left|\frac{Y_{hN}(t)}{h}\right|^2 dt, \qquad (\text{OBS}_h) \\ & \text{for any } \begin{pmatrix}Y_h^0\\Y_h^1\end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^{2N}, \text{ where } \begin{pmatrix}Y_h\\\dot{Y}_h\end{pmatrix} \text{ is the solution of the following semi-discretization of (S)} \\ & \begin{cases} \ddot{Y}_h(t) + A_h Y_h(t) = 0, & t \in (0,T) \\ & Y_h(0) = Y_h^0, & \dot{Y}_h(0) = Y_h^1. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Question

The constant K_h is uniformly bounded w.r.t. h?

N. Cîndea Numerical approximation of boundary controls for beam equations



The case of the hinged beam equation

- L. LEÓN, E. ZUAZUA, Boundary controllability of the finite-difference space semi-discretizations of the beam equation. ESAIM COCV, 2002, 8, 827-862.
- explicit form of the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the matrix A
- Ingham's inequality
- \Rightarrow uniform observability
 - filtering of the high-frequencies at the level γh^{-4} for $\gamma \in (0,1)$
 - adding an extra boundary control acting on 0.

The case of the hinged beam equation

- L. LEÓN, E. ZUAZUA, Boundary controllability of the finite-difference space semi-discretizations of the beam equation. ESAIM COCV, 2002, 8, 827-862.
- explicit form of the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the matrix A
- Ingham's inequality
- \Rightarrow uniform observability
 - filtering of the high-frequencies at the level γh^{-4} for $\gamma \in (0,1)$
 - adding an extra boundary control acting on 0.
- I.F. BUGARIU, S. MICU.; I. ROVENŢA, Approximation of the controls for the beam equation with vanishing viscosity. Math. Comp. 85 (2016), no. 301, 2259–2303.
 - ▶ adding a viscous term of the form $\varepsilon A_h \dot{Y}_h$ with $\varepsilon \in (\frac{h^2}{2T} \ln(h^{-1}), h)$
 - moment method
- \Rightarrow uniform controllability



The case of the clamped beam equation

Theorem (NC, S. Micu, I.Rovența)

Let T > 0 and $\gamma \in (0,1)$. There exists $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $N \ge N_0$ the observability inequality (OBS_h) holds, with a positive constant K independent of h, for every solution of (S) with initial data in the space $C_h(\gamma)$. Moreover,

$$\lim_{h \to \infty} \sup \left\{ \frac{\|Y_h^0\|_2^2 + \|Y_h^1\|_0^2}{\int_0^T \left|\frac{Y_{hN}(t)}{h^2}\right|^2 dt} \middle| \begin{array}{c} \begin{pmatrix}Y_h^0\\Y_h^1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^{2N} \text{ and} \\ \begin{pmatrix}Y_h\\\dot{Y}_h \end{pmatrix} \text{ solution of } (\mathsf{S}_h) \end{array} \right\} = \infty.$$

8/31

The case of the clamped beam equation

Theorem (NC, S. Micu, I.Rovența)

Let T > 0 and $\gamma \in (0,1)$. There exists $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $N \ge N_0$ the observability inequality (OBS_h) holds, with a positive constant K independent of h, for every solution of (S) with initial data in the space $C_h(\gamma)$. Moreover,

$$\lim_{h \to \infty} \sup \left\{ \frac{\|Y_h^0\|_2^2 + \|Y_h^1\|_0^2}{\int_0^T \left|\frac{Y_{hN}(t)}{h^2}\right|^2 dt} \middle| \begin{array}{c} \begin{pmatrix} Y_h^0 \\ Y_h^1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^{2N} \text{ and} \\ \begin{pmatrix} Y_h \\ \dot{Y}_h \end{pmatrix} \text{ solution of } (\mathsf{S}_h) \end{array} \right\} = \infty.$$

$$\mathcal{C}_h(\gamma) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} Y_h^0 \\ Y_h^1 \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{1 \le |n| \le \gamma N} a_n \Phi^n, \quad (a_n)_{1 \le |n| \le \gamma N} \subset \mathbb{C} \right\}.$$

N. Cîndea

Numerical approximation of boundary controls for beam equations

8/31

The case of the clamped beam equation

Theorem (NC, S. Micu, I.Rovența)

Let T > 0 and $\gamma \in (0,1)$. There exists $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $N \ge N_0$ the observability inequality (OBS_h) holds, with a positive constant K independent of h, for every solution of (S) with initial data in the space $C_h(\gamma)$. Moreover,

$$\lim_{h \to \infty} \sup \left\{ \frac{\|Y_h^0\|_2^2 + \|Y_h^1\|_0^2}{\int_0^T \left|\frac{Y_{hN}(t)}{h^2}\right|^2 dt} \middle| \begin{array}{c} \begin{pmatrix} Y_h^0 \\ Y_h^1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^{2N} \text{ and} \\ \begin{pmatrix} Y_h \\ \dot{Y}_h \end{pmatrix} \text{ solution of } (\mathsf{S}_h) \end{array} \right\} = \infty.$$

$$\mathcal{C}_{h}(\gamma) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} Y_{h}^{0} \\ Y_{h}^{1} \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{1 \le |n| \le \gamma N} a_{n} \Phi^{n}, \quad (a_{n})_{1 \le |n| \le \gamma N} \subset \mathbb{C} \right\}.$$

N. Cîndea

Numerical approximation of boundary controls for beam equations

Case of the hinged beam equation

$$\begin{cases} \ddot{u}(x,t) + \partial_x^4 u(x,t) = 0, & (0,1) \times (0,T) \\ u(0,t) = u(1,t) = 0, & t \in (0,T) \\ \partial_x^2 u(0,t) = 0, & \partial_x^2 u(1,t) = v(t), & t \in (0,T) \\ u(x,0) = u_0(x), & \dot{u}(x,0) = u_1(x), & x \in (0,1). \end{cases}$$

📄 L. León, E. Zuazua

🔋 I.F. Bugariu, S. Micu, I. Rovența

9/31

Case of the hinged beam equation



Case of the hinged beam equation

$$\begin{cases} \ddot{u}(x,t) + \partial_x^4 u(x,t) = 0, & (0,1) \times (0,T) \\ u(0,t) = u(1,t) = 0, & t \in (0,T) \\ \partial_x^2 u(0,t) = 0, & \partial_x^2 u(1,t) = v(t), & t \in (0,T) \\ u(x,0) = u_0(x), & \dot{u}(x,0) = u_1(x), & x \in (0,1). \end{cases}$$
similar results for hinged and clamped beam

- 📔 L. León, E. Zuazua
- 🔋 I.F. Bugariu, S. Micu, I. Rovența
- Abstract systems case
 - S. ERVEDOZA, Spectral conditions for admissibility and observability of wave systems: applications to finite element schemes. Numer. Math., 2009, 113, 377-415

Case of the hinged beam equation

$$\begin{cases} \ddot{u}(x,t) + \partial_x^4 u(x,t) = 0, & (0,1) \times (0,T) \\ u(0,t) = u(1,t) = 0, & t \in (0,T) \\ \partial_x^2 u(0,t) = 0, & \partial_x^2 u(1,t) = v(t), & t \in (0,T) \\ u(x,0) = u_0(x), & \dot{u}(x,0) = u_1(x), & x \in (0,1). \end{cases}$$
similar results for hinged and clamped beam

- L. LEÓN, E. ZUAZUA
- 🔋 I.F. Bugariu, S. Micu, I. Rovența
- Abstract systems case
 - S. ERVEDOZA, Spectral conditions for admissibility and observability of wave systems: applications to finite element schemes. Numer. Math., 2009, 113, 377-415

filtering at the range $Ch^{-rac{4}{3}+arepsilon}$

N. Cîndea

Numerical approximation of boundary controls for beam equations



Proposition

The matrix A has only real eigenvalues $(\lambda_n)_{1 \le n \le N} \subset (0, 16)$ and there exists an orthonormal basis in \mathbb{C}^N (with respect to the canonical inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_0$) consisting of eigenvectors $(\phi^n)_{1 \le n \le N}$ of A.

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 7 & -4 & 1 & 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ -4 & 6 & -4 & 1 & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ 1 & -4 & 6 & -4 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -4 & 6 & -4 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & -4 & 6 & -4 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 & 1 & -4 & 6 & -4 & 1 \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots & 0 & 1 & -4 & 6 & -4 \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots & 0 & 1 & -4 & 7 \end{pmatrix}$$



Proposition

N. Cîndea

The matrix A has only real eigenvalues $(\lambda_n)_{1 \le n \le N} \subset (0, 16)$ and there exists an orthonormal basis in \mathbb{C}^N (with respect to the canonical inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_0$) consisting of eigenvectors $(\phi^n)_{1 \le n \le N}$ of A.





Idea of the proof Spectral properties of the matrix A

Proposition

With the above notation, λ is a eigenvalue of the matrix A if and only if verifies one of the following relations

$$\cos\left((N+1)\arg(X_4)\right) = \frac{8X_1^{N+1} - \sqrt{\lambda}X_1^{2(N+1)} - \sqrt{\lambda}}{2\left(2X_1^{2(N+1)} - \sqrt{\lambda}X_1^{N+1} + 2\right)}, \qquad \sin((N+1)\arg(X_4)) > 0,$$

or

N. Cîndea

$$\cos\left((N+1)\arg(X_4)\right) = \frac{8X_1^{N+1} + \sqrt{\lambda}X_1^{2(N+1)} + \sqrt{\lambda}}{2\left(2X_1^{2(N+1)} + \sqrt{\lambda}X_1^{N+1} + 2\right)}, \qquad \sin((N+1)\arg(X_4)) < 0,$$

where for each $j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ the numbers X_j are given by

$$X_{1,2} = \frac{2 + \sqrt{\lambda} \pm \sqrt{(2 + \sqrt{\lambda})^2 - 4}}{2}, \ X_{3,4} = \frac{2 - \sqrt{\lambda} \pm i\sqrt{4 - (2 - \sqrt{\lambda})^2}}{2}$$



• *n*-th line of linear system $A\phi = \lambda\phi$

$$\phi_{n+2} - 4\phi_{n+1} + (6-\lambda)\phi_n - 4\phi_{n-1} + \phi_{n-2} = 0$$

12/31

• *n*-th line of linear system $A\phi = \lambda\phi$

$$\phi_{n+2} - 4\phi_{n+1} + (6-\lambda)\phi_n - 4\phi_{n-1} + \phi_{n-2} = 0$$

• $X_i \ (i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\})$ are the solutions of

$$x^{4} - 4x^{3} + (6 - \lambda)x^{2} - 4x + 1 = 0.$$



• *n*-th line of linear system $A\phi = \lambda\phi$

$$\phi_{n+2} - 4\phi_{n+1} + (6-\lambda)\phi_n - 4\phi_{n-1} + \phi_{n-2} = 0$$

• X_i $(i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\})$ are the solutions of

$$x^{4} - 4x^{3} + (6 - \lambda)x^{2} - 4x + 1 = 0.$$

• components of the eigenvector ϕ write as

$$\phi_n = C_1 X_1^n + C_2 X_2^n + C_3 X_3^n + C_4 X_4^n$$

12/31

• *n*-th line of linear system $A\phi = \lambda\phi$

$$\phi_{n+2} - 4\phi_{n+1} + (6-\lambda)\phi_n - 4\phi_{n-1} + \phi_{n-2} = 0$$

• X_i $(i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\})$ are the solutions of

$$x^{4} - 4x^{3} + (6 - \lambda)x^{2} - 4x + 1 = 0.$$

• components of the eigenvector ϕ write as

$$\phi_n = C_1 X_1^n + C_2 X_2^n + C_3 X_3^n + C_4 X_4^n$$

boundary conditions on ϕ

$$\phi_0 = \phi_{N+1} = 0$$

$$\phi_{-1} = \phi_1, \qquad \phi_N = \phi_{N+2}$$

12/31

From the first two equations we extract

$$\begin{aligned} C_3 &= -\frac{1}{2} \left(1 - i \frac{R_+}{R_-} \right) C_1 - \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + i \frac{R_+}{R_-} \right) C_2, \\ C_4 &= -\frac{1}{2} \left(1 + i \frac{R_+}{R_-} \right) C_1 - \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - i \frac{R_+}{R_-} \right) C_2, \end{aligned}$$

and from the last two equations

$$C_{3} = -\frac{1}{2} \left(1 - i\frac{R_{+}}{R_{-}} \right) \frac{X_{1}^{N+1}}{X_{3}^{N+1}} C_{1} - \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + i\frac{R_{+}}{R_{-}} \right) \frac{X_{2}^{N+1}}{X_{3}^{N+1}} C_{2},$$

$$C_{4} = -\frac{1}{2} \left(1 + i\frac{R_{+}}{R_{-}} \right) \frac{X_{1}^{N+1}}{X_{4}^{N+1}} C_{1} - \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - i\frac{R_{+}}{R_{-}} \right) \frac{X_{2}^{N+1}}{X_{4}^{N+1}} C_{2},$$

N. Cîndea



Idea of the proof Spectral properties of the matrix A

• any number $\lambda \in (0, 16)$ can be written as

$$\lambda = 16\sin^4\left(\frac{hz}{2}\right)$$

for some $z \in \left(0, \frac{\pi}{h}\right)$ and, hence, $\arg(X_4) = 2\pi - zh$.



Idea of the proof Spectral properties of the matrix A

- any number $\lambda \in (0, 16)$ can be written as

$$\lambda = 16\sin^4\left(\frac{hz}{2}\right)$$

for some $z \in (0, \frac{\pi}{h})$ and, hence, $\arg(X_4) = 2\pi - zh$.

the new variable z satisfies the equations

$$f^{\pm}(z) := g^{\pm}(z) - \frac{2\left(1 - \sin^4\left(\frac{hz}{2}\right)\right)r^{N+1}(z)}{r^{2(N+1)}(z) \mp 2\sin^2\left(\frac{hz}{2}\right)r^{N+1}(z) + 1} = 0,$$

where

$$g^{\pm}(z) = \cos(z) \pm \sin^2(\frac{zh}{2}).$$

.

$$r(z) = 1 + 2\sin^2\left(\frac{zh}{2}\right) + 2\sqrt{\sin^2\left(\frac{zh}{2}\right)\left(1 + \sin^2\left(\frac{zh}{2}\right)\right)}.$$

Characterization of the high-frequencies



Figure: Solutions z_n of equations $g^{\pm}(z) = 0$ for N = 10.

$$g^{\pm}(z) = \cos(z) \pm \sin^2(\frac{zh}{2}).$$



N. Cîndea Numerical approximation of boundary controls for beam equations









By Rouché's Theorem, if N and n are large enough, the zeros y_n^{\pm} of f^{\pm} are close to zeros z_n^{\pm} of g^{\pm} .

N. Cîndea

Numerical approximation of boundary controls for beam equations



Proposition (NC, S. Micu, I. Rovența)

Let $\rho > 1$. There exists $\delta_0 > 0$ such that, for each $\delta \in (0, \delta_0)$, there exists $N_0(\delta) \in \mathbb{N}^*$ with the property that the eigenvalues $(\lambda_n)_{\rho \ln N \le n \le N}$ of the matrix $A \in \mathcal{M}_N(\mathbb{R})$ with $N \ge N_0(\delta)$ are given by

$$\lambda_n = \begin{cases} 16\sin^4\left(\frac{y_k^+ h}{2}\right) & \text{if } n = 2k+2, \\ 16\sin^4\left(\frac{y_k^- h}{2}\right) & \text{if } n = 2k+1, \end{cases}$$

where y_k^+ and y_k^- are zeros of the functions f^+ and f^- .



Theorem (N.C., S. Micu, I. Rovența)

Let $\sigma \in (0,1)$. There exist K > 0 and $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that, for each $N \ge N_0$ and each λ eigenvalue of the matrix A with the property that $\lambda \in (\sigma, 16 - \sigma)$, the corresponding normalized eigenvector $\phi = (\phi_k)_{1 \le k \le N} \in \mathbb{R}^N$ has the following property

$$|\phi_N| > K\sqrt{\lambda}.$$

Moreover, if $\phi^N \in \mathbb{R}^N$ is the eigenvector corresponding to the last eigenvalue λ_N , we have that

$$\frac{|\phi_N^N|}{\sqrt{\lambda_N}} = O(h).$$

18/31

Observability of the high-order eigenvectors

$$\begin{split} \phi^{k} &= C_{1}X_{1}^{k} + C_{2}X_{2}^{k} + C_{3}X_{3}^{k} + C_{4}X_{4}^{k} \\ C_{1} &= \frac{\mathcal{C}}{X_{1}^{N+1}r_{N}^{1}}, \qquad C_{2} = -\frac{\mathcal{C}}{X_{2}^{N+1}r_{N}^{2}} \\ C_{3} &= -\alpha C_{1} \left(\frac{X_{1}}{X_{3}}\right)^{N+1} - \beta C_{2} \left(\frac{X_{2}}{X_{3}}\right)^{N+1} \\ C_{4} &= -\beta C_{1} \left(\frac{X_{1}}{X_{4}}\right)^{N+1} - \alpha C_{2} \left(\frac{X_{2}}{X_{4}}\right)^{N+1} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \alpha &= \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - i \frac{\sqrt{(2 + \sqrt{\lambda})^2 - 4}}{\sqrt{4 - (2 - \sqrt{\lambda})^2}} \right), \qquad \beta = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + i \frac{\sqrt{(2 + \sqrt{\lambda})^2 - 4}}{\sqrt{4 - (2 - \sqrt{\lambda})^2}} \right) \\ r_N^j &= \sqrt{\left(\left(\frac{X_4}{X_j} \right)^{N+1} - 1 \right) \left(\left(\frac{X_3}{X_j} \right)^{N+1} - 1 \right)} \qquad (j \in \{1, 2\}) \end{split}$$

N. Cîndea

Numerical approximation of boundary controls for beam equations

Lemma

There exists $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that for each $N > N_0$ and any eigenvalue λ of the matrix A with the property that $\lambda \ge (3h \ln N)^4$ the following estimates hold:

$$\frac{1}{X_1^{N+1}} = o(1)\sqrt{\lambda},$$
$$|1 - r_N^1| \le \left(\frac{1}{X_1}\right)^{N+1},$$
$$r_N^2 \ge X_1^{N+1} - 1.$$



Observability of the high-order eigenvectors

N. Cîndea



Figure: Evolution of the quantity $\frac{(\phi_N^N)^2}{\lambda_N}$ as a function of h.

18/31

Characterisation of low eigenvalues and eigenvectors

Proposition

Let $\varepsilon \in (0,2)$. There exist $N_0 > 0$ and d > 0 such that, for each $N \ge N_0$, the following estimate holds:

$$\frac{1}{h^2} \left| \sqrt{\lambda_{n+1}} - \sqrt{\lambda_n} \right| \ge dn \qquad \left(1 \le n \le N^{\frac{1}{6}(2-\varepsilon)} \right).$$

Proposition

Let $N \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $\sigma \in (0,1)$ and $\phi = (\phi_k)_{1 \le k \le N}$ be the normalized eigenvector of A corresponding to the eigenvalue $\lambda \in (0, 16 - \sigma)$. Then there exists a constant K > 0, independent of N and λ , such that the following estimate holds

$$|\phi_N| \ge K\sqrt{\lambda}.$$

N. Cîndea



Low eigenvalues distribution

▶ Let $(\widetilde{A}, D(\widetilde{A}))$ be the operator in $L^2(0, 1)$ associated to the clamped beam equation

$$\widetilde{A}\, u=\partial_x^4 u \qquad (u\in D(\widetilde{A})), \quad D(\widetilde{A})=H^4(0,1)\cap H^2_0(0,1).$$



Low eigenvalues distribution

▶ Let $(\widetilde{A}, D(\widetilde{A}))$ be the operator in $L^2(0, 1)$ associated to the clamped beam equation

$$\widetilde{A}\, u=\partial_x^4 u \qquad (u\in D(\widetilde{A})), \quad D(\widetilde{A})=H^4(0,1)\cap H^2_0(0,1).$$

• \widetilde{A} has a sequence of simple eigenvalues $(\widetilde{\lambda}_n)_{n\geq 1}$:

$$\widetilde{\lambda}_n = \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)^4 \pi^4 + \upsilon_n \qquad (n \ge 1),$$

where $(v_n)_{n\geq 1}$ is a sequence converging exponentially to zero.

20/31

Low eigenvalues distribution

▶ Let (Ã, D(Ã)) be the operator in L²(0,1) associated to the clamped beam equation

$$\widetilde{A}\, u = \partial_x^4 u \qquad (u \in D(\widetilde{A})), \quad D(\widetilde{A}) = H^4(0,1) \cap H^2_0(0,1).$$

→ *Ã* has a sequence of simple eigenvalues (*λ̃_n*)_{n≥1}:

$$\widetilde{\lambda}_n = \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)^4 \pi^4 + \upsilon_n \qquad (n \ge 1),$$

where (v_n)_{n≥1} is a sequence converging exponentially to zero.
Let ε ∈ (0,2). There exist N₀ > 0 and C > 0 such that, for each N ≥ N₀, the following estimate holds:

$$\left|\widetilde{\lambda}_n - \frac{\lambda_n}{h^4}\right| \le Ch^{\varepsilon} \qquad \left(1 \le n \le N^{\frac{1}{6}(2-\varepsilon)}\right).$$



Low eigenvectors observability

• We employ a discrete multiplier method:

$$A\phi = \lambda\phi \quad | \quad \cdot J.D_{1c}\phi,$$

where

$$D_{1c} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad J = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ \vdots \\ N-2 \\ N-1 \\ N \end{pmatrix}$$

21/31

Low eigenvectors observability

• We employ a discrete multiplier method:

$$A\phi = \lambda\phi \quad | \quad \cdot J.D_{1c}\phi,$$

where

$$D_{1c} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad J = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ \vdots \\ N-2 \\ N-1 \\ N \end{pmatrix}$$

• One deduce the following expression for ϕ_N :

$$\phi_N^2 = \langle A\phi, \phi \rangle - \frac{\lambda}{4} \langle B\phi, \phi \rangle - \frac{h}{4} \left(4\phi_1^2 + 4\phi_N^2 - \phi_1\phi_2 - \phi_{N-1}\phi_N \right).$$

Low eigenvectors observability

Some discrete "derivation" formula

Lemma

With the above notation we have that

1.
$$A = D_{1b}D_3 + M_1$$
,
2. $D_3 = D'_{1b}B + M_2$,
3. $B = D_{1b}D'_{1b} + M_3$,
4. $D'_{1b}(v.w) = D'_{1b}v.w + S'_0v.D'_{1b}w$, for every vectors
 $v, w \in \mathbb{R}^N$, where
 $S_0 = \mathcal{I} - D_{1b}$, (1)

where \mathcal{I} denotes the identity matrix in $\mathcal{M}_N(\mathbb{R})$.

$$M_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & -1 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, M_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, M_{3} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$
Cîndea Numerical approximation of boundary controls for beam equations 22/32

Gap property and Ingham's inequality

Proposition

Let T > 0. There exist N_0 , $n_T \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that, for any $N \ge N_0$, the eigenvalues λ_n of the matrix A verify

$$\sqrt{\lambda_{n+1}} - \sqrt{\lambda_n} \ge \frac{2\pi}{T} h^2$$
 $(n_T \le n \le N - n_T)$. (2)

Conclusion of the proof follows by:

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} Y_h(t) \\ \dot{Y}_h(t) \end{array} \right) = \sum_{1 \le |n| \le \gamma N} a_n e^{-i\operatorname{sgn}(n) \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_{|n|}}}{h^2} t} \Phi^n$$

a Ingham's type inequality:

$$\sum_{1 \le |n| \le \gamma N} |a_n|^2 \left| \frac{\phi_N^{|n|}}{\sqrt{\lambda_{|n|}}} \right|^2 \le K' \int_0^T \left| \sum_{1 \le |n| \le \gamma N} a_n e^{-i\operatorname{\mathsf{sgn}}(n) \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_{|n|}}}{h^2}} t \frac{\phi_N^{|n|}}{\sqrt{\lambda_{|n|}}} \right|^2 \, dt.$$

 We approach the discrete controls v_h minimising the functional

$$J(v) = \int_0^T r(t) |v(t)|^2 dt$$

where $r\in C^\infty(0,T)$ is given by

$$r(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & (t \in (0, \frac{\alpha}{2}) \cup (T - \frac{\alpha}{2}, T)) \\ 1 & (t \in (\alpha, T - \alpha)). \end{cases}$$

- ► A classical conjugate gradient algorithm is used to minimise the dual functional J*.
- Newmark method is employed for the time discretization with a discretization step Δt small enough.



A first example

$$u_0(x) = \sin^2(\pi x), \qquad u_1(x) = 0 \qquad (x \in (0, 1))$$



A first example

$$u_0(x) = \sin^2(\pi x), \qquad u_1(x) = 0 \qquad (x \in (0,1))$$



Figure: Control $v_h(t)$

N. Cîndea

Numerical approximation of boundary controls for beam equations



A first example

 $u_0(x) = \sin^2(\pi x), \qquad u_1(x) = 0 \qquad (x \in (0,1))$



Figure: Control solution.



A more oscillating example

$$u_0(x) = \sin^2(2\pi x), \qquad u_1(x) = 0 \qquad (x \in (0,1))$$

N. Cîndea Numerical approximation of boundary controls for beam equations



A more oscillating example



A highly oscillating example

$$u_{0}(x) = \mathbb{1}_{\left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}\right)}(x), \qquad u_{1}(x) = 0 \qquad (x \in (0, 1)).$$
$$u_{0}^{\gamma} = \sum_{n=1}^{[\gamma N]} \langle u_{0}, \phi^{n} \rangle_{0} \phi^{n} \in \mathbb{C}^{N}.$$



N. Cîndea

Numerical approximation of boundary controls for beam equations



Number of iterations needed for the CG to converge

	$\gamma = 0.1$	$\gamma = 0.5$	$\gamma = 0.9$	$\gamma = 1$
N = 25	4	6	12	29
N = 50	4	6	15	52
N = 100	4	6	17	87
N = 200	4	6	20	168
N = 400	4	6	19	321

Table: Number of iterations needed for the convergence of the conjugate gradient algorithm for initial data $(u_0^{\gamma}, 0)$ and different values of N.



Figure: Controls obtained for N = 400 and different values of γ . N. Cîndea Numerical approximation of boundary controls for beam equations

Energy of controlled solutions



Figure: Energy of controlled solutions corresponding to u_0^{γ} for different values of γ and N = 400.

30/31

Conclusion:

- We proved that the observability inequality associated to a finite-differences semi-discretization of the clamped beam equation holds uniformly for filtered initial data;
- The filtration threshold is sharp.
- A precise analysis of the spectral properties of the discrete operator was needed.

Perspectives:

- two-dimensional case?
- other less academic numerical schemes?



Conclusion:

- We proved that the observability inequality associated to a finite-differences semi-discretization of the clamped beam equation holds uniformly for filtered initial data;
- The filtration threshold is sharp.
- A precise analysis of the spectral properties of the discrete operator was needed.

Perspectives:

- two-dimensional case?
- other less academic numerical schemes?

Thank you for the attention!

