

On the stabilization of the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations in a 2d channel with a normal control

Sylvain Ervedoza

Joint Work with

Shirshendu Chowdhury and Jean-Pierre Raymond

Institut de Mathématiques de Toulouse & CNRS

Valenciennes

July 7, 2016

Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Strategy
- 3 Further comments

Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Strategy
- 3 Further comments

Incompressible Navier-Stokes equations in a 2-d channel:

$$\Omega = \mathbb{T} \times (0, 1), \text{ where } \mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}.$$

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + (u \cdot \nabla)u - \Delta u + \nabla p = 0, & \text{in } (0, \infty) \times \Omega, \\ \operatorname{div} u = 0, & \text{in } (0, \infty) \times \Omega, \\ u(t, x_1, 0) = (0, 0), & \text{on } (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{T}, \\ u(t, x_1, 1) = (0, v(t, x_1)), & \text{on } (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{T}, \\ u(0, x_1, x_2) = u^0(x_1, x_2), & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases}$$

- $u = u(t, x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ is the **velocity**.
- $p = p(t, x_1, x_2)$ is the **pressure**.
- $v = v(t, x_1)$ is the **control function**, acting on the normal component only.

Choose v to **stabilize** the state u .

Motivation and related topics

Motivation: Controllability/Stabilization of fluid-structure models with controls acting on the structure.

See Lions Zuazua '95, Osses Puel '99, '09, Lequeurre '13, ...

Related topics:

- **Controllability of incompressible Navier-Stokes equations....**
Fursikov Imanuvilov '96, Fernandez-Cara Guerrero Imanuvilov Puel '04, ...
- ... with **controls having zero components:**
Coron Guerrero '09, Carreno Guerrero '13, Coron Lissy '15,...
- **Coupled parabolic systems** with one boundary control:
Ammar-Khodja Benabdallah Gonzalez-Burgos de Teresa '11, Duprez Lissy '15...
- **Stabilization** for incompressible Navier-Stokes equations:
Krstic et al '01, Raymond '06, Barbu '07, Triggiani '07, Vazquez Coron Trélat '08, Munteanu '12,...

To be more precise....

Our goal

Get a **local stabilization** result around the state $(u, p) = (0, 0)$.

Linearized equations:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u - \Delta u + \nabla p = 0, & \text{in } (0, \infty) \times \Omega, \\ \operatorname{div} u = 0, & \text{in } (0, \infty) \times \Omega, \\ u(t, x_1, 0) = (0, 0), & \text{on } (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{T}, \\ u(t, x_1, 1) = (0, v(t, x_1)), & \text{on } (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{T}, \\ u(0, x_1, x_2) = u^0(x_1, x_2), & \text{in } \Omega, \end{cases}$$

??? \rightsquigarrow The linearized equations are already stable! Taking $v = 0$,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |u(t, x)|^2 dx \right) + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(t, x)|^2 dx = 0.$$

\rightsquigarrow Exponential decay like $t \mapsto \exp(-\pi^2 t)$!
(also true for the non-linear model).

To be more precise...

Part 2

Get a **local stabilization** result around the state $(u, p) = (0, 0)$
At an exponential rate larger than π^2 .

Linearized equations:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \partial_t u - \Delta u + \nabla p = 0, & \text{in } (0, \infty) \times \Omega, \\ \operatorname{div} u = 0, & \text{in } (0, \infty) \times \Omega, \\ u(t, x_1, 0) = (0, 0), & \text{on } (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{T}, \\ u(t, x_1, 1) = (0, v(t, x_1)), & \text{on } (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{T}, \\ u(0, x_1, x_2) = u^0(x_1, x_2), & \text{in } \Omega, \end{array} \right.$$

Difficulty:

$$\operatorname{div} u = 0 \text{ in } (0, \infty) \times \Omega \Rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{T}} v(t, x_1) dx_1 = 0 \text{ for all } t > 0.$$

To be more precise....

Part 3

The 0-mode:

$$u_0(t, x_2) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} u(t, x_1, x_2) dx_1$$

satisfies **the uncontrolled heat equation**

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u_{0,1} - \partial_{22} u_{0,1} = 0, & \text{in } (0, \infty) \times (0, 1), \\ u_{0,1}(t, 0) = u_{0,1}(t, 1) = 0, & \text{on } (0, \infty), \\ u_{0,2}(t, x_2) = 0, & \text{in } (0, \infty) \times (0, 1). \end{cases}$$

Consequence

The solutions of the linearized equations decay like $\exp(-\pi^2 t)$ and, considering

$$u(t, x) = e^{-\pi^2 t} \Psi_0(x_2) \text{ with } \Psi_0 = \Psi_0(x_2) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \begin{pmatrix} \sin(\pi x_2) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

this decay estimate is sharp **whatever the control v is.**

Main result

Theorem (S. Chowdhury, S.E., J.-P. Raymond 2016)

Let $\omega_0 > 0$ be such that $0 < \omega_0 < 4\pi^2$.

There exists $\gamma > 0$ such that for all $u_0 \in \mathbf{V}_0^1(\Omega)$ with $\|u_0\|_{\mathbf{V}_0^1(\Omega)} \leq \gamma$, there exists $v \in L^2((0, \infty) \times \mathbb{T})$ satisfying

$\int_{\mathbb{T}} v(t, x_1) dx_1 = 0$ for all $t > 0$ such that the solution (u, p) of the incompressible Navier-Stokes equation satisfies, for some constant $C > 0$ independent of t ,

$$\forall t \geq 0, \quad \|u(t)\|_{\mathbf{V}^1(\Omega)} \leq Ce^{-\omega_0 t}.$$

$$\mathbf{V}^1(\Omega) = \{u = (u_1, u_2) \in H^1(\Omega) \times H^1(\Omega) \mid \operatorname{div} u = 0\},$$

$$\mathbf{V}_0^1(\Omega) = \{u \in \mathbf{V}^1(\Omega) \mid u(x_1, 0) = u(x_1, 1) = 0 \text{ for } x_1 \in \mathbb{T}\}.$$

Comments

- Straightforward when $\omega < \pi^2$
 \rightsquigarrow Interesting case $\omega \in (\pi^2, 4\pi^2)$.
- $4\pi^2$ is the second eigenvalue of the elliptic operator generating the heat equation satisfied by the 0-mode:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u_{0,1} - \partial_{22} u_{0,1} = 0, & \text{in } (0, \infty) \times (0, 1), \\ u_{0,1}(t, 0) = u_{0,1}(t, 1) = 0, & \text{on } (0, \infty), \\ u_{0,2}(t, x_2) = 0, & \text{in } (0, \infty) \times (0, 1). \end{cases}$$

- The stabilization result cannot be true for the linearized model
 \Rightarrow We have to use the non-linearity to improve the exponential decay.

Strategy based on the so-called **Power Series Expansion**:

see Coron Crépeau '04, Cerpa '07, Cerpa Crépeau '09, Coron Rivas '15.

Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Strategy**
- 3 Further comments

Write $u = \varepsilon\alpha + \varepsilon^2\beta$, $v = \varepsilon v_1 + \varepsilon^2 v_2$, with

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \alpha - \Delta \alpha + \nabla p_1 = 0, & \text{in } (0, \infty) \times \Omega, \\ \operatorname{div} \alpha = 0, & \text{in } (0, \infty) \times \Omega, \\ \alpha(t, x_1, 0) = (0, 0), & \text{on } (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{T}, \\ \alpha(t, x_1, 1) = (0, v_1(t, x_1)), & \text{on } (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{T}, \\ \alpha(0, x_1, x_2) = \alpha^0(x_1, x_2), & \text{in } \Omega, \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \beta - \Delta \beta + \nabla p_2 = -(\alpha + \varepsilon\beta) \cdot \nabla(\alpha + \varepsilon\beta), & \text{in } (0, \infty) \times \Omega, \\ \operatorname{div} \beta = 0, & \text{in } (0, \infty) \times \Omega, \\ \beta(t, x_1, 0) = (0, 0), & \text{on } (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{T}, \\ \beta(t, x_1, 1) = (0, v_2(t, x_1)), & \text{on } (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{T}, \\ \beta(0, x_1, x_2) = \beta^0(x_1, x_2), & \text{in } \Omega, \end{cases}$$

Write $u = \varepsilon\alpha + \varepsilon^2\beta$, $v = \varepsilon v_1 + \varepsilon^2 v_2$, with

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \alpha - \Delta \alpha + \nabla p_1 = 0, & \text{in } (0, \infty) \times \Omega, \\ \operatorname{div} \alpha = 0, & \text{in } (0, \infty) \times \Omega, \\ \alpha(t, x_1, 0) = (0, 0), & \text{on } (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{T}, \\ \alpha(t, x_1, 1) = (0, v_1(t, x_1)), & \text{on } (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{T}, \\ \alpha(0, x_1, x_2) = \alpha^0(x_1, x_2), & \text{in } \Omega, \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \beta - \Delta \beta + \nabla p_2 = -\alpha \cdot \nabla \alpha, & \text{in } (0, \infty) \times \Omega, \\ \operatorname{div} \beta = 0, & \text{in } (0, \infty) \times \Omega, \\ \beta(t, x_1, 0) = (0, 0), & \text{on } (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{T}, \\ \beta(t, x_1, 1) = (0, v_2(t, x_1)), & \text{on } (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{T}, \\ \beta(0, x_1, x_2) = \beta^0(x_1, x_2), & \text{in } \Omega, \end{cases}$$

Strategy

Part 2

- α satisfies the linearized incompressible Navier-Stokes equations.
- \Rightarrow If α contains 0-modes decaying slower than $\exp(-\omega_0 t)$, one cannot achieve an exponential decay rate ω_0 .
- \Rightarrow The component of the solution u on the eigenfunction $\Psi_0 = \Psi_0(x_2) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \begin{pmatrix} \sin(\pi x_2) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$
 - Is in β .
 - Should be handled by constructing a suitable α .

Preliminaries

- The Stokes operator A is **self-adjoint**, positive definite, with compact resolvent on the space

$$\mathbf{V}_n^0(\Omega) = \{u \in (L^2(\Omega))^2 \mid \operatorname{div}(u) = 0 \text{ on } \Omega \text{ and } u \cdot n = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma\}$$

\Rightarrow Sequences of positive eigenvalues $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \rightarrow \infty$ and corresponding **orthonormal basis of eigenvectors** (Ψ_j) .

$$A\Psi = \lambda\Psi \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} -\Delta\Psi + \nabla q = \lambda\Psi, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \operatorname{div} \Psi = 0, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \Psi = 0, & \text{on } \Gamma, \end{cases}$$

Adjoint of the control operator: $B^*\Psi = q(x_1, 1) - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} q(x_1, 1) dx_1$.

Lemma

$A\Psi = \lambda\Psi$ and $B^*\Psi = 0$ imply $\Psi(x) = \Psi(x_2)$.

Decomposition of the space $\mathbf{V}_n^0(\Omega)$:

- A **stable** space: $\mathbf{Z}_s = \text{Span} \{ \Phi \mid A\Phi = \lambda\Phi, \text{ with } \lambda > \omega \}$.
- An **unstable** space: $\mathbf{Z}_u = \mathbf{Z}_s^\perp$, itself decomposed as
 - An **unstable uncontrollable** space $\mathbf{Z}_{uu} = \text{Span} \Psi_0$.
 - An **unstable detectable** space $\mathbf{Z}_{ud} = \mathbf{Z}_{uu}^\perp \cap \mathbf{Z}_u$.

Corresponding (orthogonal) projections: $\mathbb{P}_s, \mathbb{P}_u, \mathbb{P}_{ud}$ and \mathbb{P}_{uu} .

Iterative strategy: $(0, \infty) = \cup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} [nT, (n+1)T]$ for some $T > 0$.
($T = 1$).

Starting point: $u^0 = \varepsilon \alpha^0 + \varepsilon^2 \beta^0$ with

$$\|\alpha^0\|_{\mathbf{V}_0^1(\Omega)}^2 + \|\beta^0\|_{\mathbf{V}_0^1(\Omega)} \leq 1, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{P}_{uu} \alpha^0 = 0.$$

Initialization Step: On $[0, T]$, choose

- v_1 such that $\mathbb{P}_u \alpha(T) = 0$.
- $v_2 = 0$.

Iteration step: In each time interval $[nT, (n+1)T]$, we design controls v_1 and v_2 such that

$$\mathbb{P}_u \alpha((n+1)T) = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{P}_u \beta((n+1)T) = 0,$$

where β is the solution of

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \partial_t \beta - \Delta \beta + \nabla p = -\alpha \cdot \nabla \alpha, & \text{in } (nT, (n+1)T) \times \Omega, \\ \operatorname{div} \beta = 0, & \text{in } (nT, (n+1)T) \times \Omega, \\ \beta(t, x_1, 0) = (0, 0), & \text{on } (nT, (n+1)T) \times \mathbb{T}, \\ \beta(t, x_1, 1) = (0, v_2(t, x_1)), & \text{on } (nT, (n+1)T) \times \mathbb{T}, \\ \beta(nT^+, x) = \beta(nT^-, x), & \text{in } \Omega. \end{array} \right.$$

Key Lemma

There exist control functions $v^a, v^b \in H_0^1(0, T; H^2(\mathbb{T}) \cap L_0^2(\mathbb{T}))$ such that, for all $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, the solution α of

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \partial_t \alpha - \Delta \alpha + \nabla p_1 = 0, & \text{in } (0, T) \times \Omega, \\ \operatorname{div} \alpha = 0, & \text{in } (0, T) \times \Omega, \\ \alpha(t, x_1, 0) = (0, 0), & \text{on } (0, T) \times \mathbb{T}, \\ \alpha(t, x_1, 1) = (0, (av^a + bv^b)(t, x_1)), & \text{on } (0, T) \times \mathbb{T}, \\ \alpha(0, x) = 0, & \text{in } \Omega, \end{array} \right.$$

satisfies $\alpha(T) = 0$ in Ω , and such that the solution β of

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \partial_t \beta - \Delta \beta + \nabla p_2 = -\alpha \cdot \nabla \alpha, & \text{in } (0, T) \times \Omega, \\ \operatorname{div} \beta = 0, & \text{in } (0, T) \times \Omega, \\ \beta(t, x_1, 0) = \beta(t, x_1, 1) = (0, 0), & \text{on } (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{T}, \\ \beta(0, x) = 0, & \text{in } \Omega, \end{array} \right.$$

satisfies $\mathbb{P}_{uu}\beta(T) = ab\Psi_0$.

Difficulties

- Generating many trajectories for α starting from 0 and ending at 0.
 \rightsquigarrow Null-controllability results on the Eq. of the 1st mode.
- Generate trajectories such that $\alpha \cdot \nabla \alpha$ allows for β to enter in the missing direction.
 - Specific solutions with separated variables
 - Contradiction argument.

Ideas of the proof: Generation of trajectories

- Take

$$v^a(t, x_1) = v^c(t) \cos(x_1), \quad v^b(t, x_1) = v^s(t) \sin(x_1).$$

$\rightsquigarrow \alpha^a$ and α^b are supported on the first mode of the equations:

$$\alpha^a(t, x_1, x_2) = \begin{pmatrix} \sin(x_1) \alpha_1^c(t, x_2) \\ \cos(x_1) \alpha_2^c(t, x_2) \end{pmatrix}$$

- The [equation satisfied by the first modes](#) of the linear incompressible Stokes equations [is null-controllable](#).

\rightsquigarrow Proof by [spectral estimates](#).

\longrightarrow We can generate many trajectories α going from 0 to 0.

The projection on Ψ_0 of the corresponding $\beta(T)$

$$e^{\nu\pi^2 T} \langle \beta(T), \Psi_0 \rangle = \pi^{5/2} \int_0^T v^s(t) q(t, 1) dt,$$

where q is obtained by solving

$$\begin{cases} -\partial_t Z + Z - \partial_{22} Z + \begin{pmatrix} q \\ \partial_2 q \end{pmatrix} = F(t, x_2), & \text{in } (0, T) \times (0, 1), \\ -Z_1 + \partial_2 Z_2 = 0, & \text{in } (0, T) \times (0, 1), \\ Z(t, 0) = Z(t, 1) = (0, 0), & \text{in } (0, T), \\ Z(T, x_2) = 0, & \text{in } (0, 1). \end{cases}$$

with $F(t, x_2) = \cos(\pi x_2) e^{\pi^2 t} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_2^c(t, x_2) \\ \alpha_1^s(t, x_2) \end{pmatrix}$, **depending only on**
 $v^a(t, x_1) = v^c(t) \cos(x_1)$.

\rightsquigarrow Show the existence of v^a/v^c such that $\|q(t, 1)\|_{L^2(0, T)} \neq 0$.

Construction of v^c , generation of a suitable trajectory

For $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$, introduce $(\alpha^*(x_2), p^*(x_2))$ solving

$$\begin{cases} \mu\alpha_1^* + \alpha_1^* - \partial_{22}\alpha_1^* - p^* = 0, & \text{in } (0, 1), \\ \mu\alpha_2^* + \alpha_2^* - \partial_{22}\alpha_2^* + \partial_2 p^* = 0, & \text{in } (0, 1), \\ \alpha_1^* + \partial_2 \alpha_2^* = 0, & \text{in } (0, 1), \\ \alpha_1^*(0) = \alpha_1^*(1) = \alpha_2^*(0) = 0, \quad \alpha_2^*(1) = 1. \end{cases}$$

Then $\bar{\alpha}(t, x_1, x_2) = e^{\mu t}(\sin(x_1)\alpha_1^*(x_2), \cos(x_1)\alpha_2^*(x_2))$, $\bar{v}(t) = e^{\mu t}$, solves the linear Stokes equations.

Lemma

There exists a suitable $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ such that if $\alpha(t) = \bar{\alpha}(t)$ on some time interval then the boundary pressure $q(t, 1)$ given by the aforementioned process cannot be identically 0 on that time interval.

Reduction to the stationary case and numerically checked.

Construction of v^a/v^c and v^b/v^s

Construction of v^a/v^c in 4 steps:

- On $(0, T/4)$, control α^a to go from 0 to $\bar{\alpha}(T/4)$.
- On $(T/4, T/2)$, take $v^a(t) = e^{\mu t}$ and $\alpha^a(t) = \bar{\alpha}(t)$.
hence $\|q(t)\|_{L^2(T/4, T/2)} \neq 0$.
- On $(T/2, 3T/4)$, control α^a goes from $\bar{\alpha}(T/2)$ to 0.
- On $(3T/4, T)$, take $v^a(t) = 0$, and $\alpha^a(t) = 0$,
hence $q(t) = 0$ on $(3T/4, T)$.

Construction of v^b/v^s :

- On $(0, 3T/4)$, take v^s such that $\int_0^{3T/4} v^s(t)q(t, 1) dt = 1$.
- On $(3T/4, T)$, control α^b to go from $\alpha^b(3T/4)$ to 0.

Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Strategy
- 3 Further comments

Open Question

- Exponential stabilization result at a rate higher than $4\pi^2$?

Difficulty: One has to guarantee that we can enter the space of missing directions

$$\text{Span} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \sin(\pi x_2) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \sin(2\pi x_2) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

in both directions **independently**.

This is OK !

But exponential stabilization at **any given rate** is open (even if probably true with our techniques...), so is the controllability of the system.

Thank you for your attention!

Comments Welcome

Reference:

Open loop stabilization of incompressible Navier-Stokes equations in a 2d channel using power series expansion.

S. Chowdhury, S. Ervedoza, and J.-P. Raymond, in preparation.